OG-2805 PINK Rev. 10/01 Michigan Department of Education
OFFICE OF BUDGET, CONTRACTS AND GRANTS

Direct questions regarding this form to 31806.

GRANT OFFICE USE ONLY

Notification to ITS:

Initials:

GRANT AWARD APPROVAL FORM

Official Name of Grant Program:		Date of SBE approval of grant criteria 03/20/96				
2002 2003 Title VI - Innovative Education Program Strategies (years) (title)			□Initial (type)	⊠Amendment	☐ Continuation	
Legislation Authorizing this Grant Program:Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965, Title VI, as amended, 20 U.S.C. 7301-7373						
				☐Other (Private, Foundation)		
2. Type and Purpose of Grant Program: (chec	k one)				odridation)	
Support local education reform efforts and implementation; provide innovation and education in for all students including at-risk youth; improve school teacher and student performance including professional development and class size reduction.		and education improve ormance including	ment	☐Competitive ☐Formula ☑Other		
				Targeted for statewi (specify)	de activities.	
3. SBE Priorities and Policies that this Grant	Program Supports: (check a	II that apply)				
<u>Priorities</u>	Policies			Other		
☑Integrating Communities and Schools	☐Bullying			Попие		
☐Elevating Educational Leadership	☐Character Education			(specify)		
☐Embracing the Information Age	□ Creating Effective Learn	ing Environments		(Specify)		
☐Ensuring Early Childhood Literacy	☐Family Involvement					
⊠Ensuring Excellent Educators	☐Safe Schools					
4. Grant Categories (if not described in Item 2	2): NOT APPLICABLE					
5. Target Population to be Served by Grant:						
All K-12 students and educators, public and nonpublic.						
6. Total Funds Awarded:						
\$120,000						
7. Eligible Applicants:						
Applicant able to deliver identified statewide activities.						
8. Description of Priorities Given to Any Specific Population or Location:						
9. Grant Administration:						
Office Unit		Contact		Phone		
Budget, Contracts, and Grants Grants Ad	ministration M	Mary Ann Chartrand		373-1806		



10. OFFICE		
Office Director Approval Signature:		Date:
Phone:	Comments:	
11015	Comments.	
11. BUDGET OFFICE		A American
Budget Office Approval Signature:	λR	Date:
Comments:		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
전 성기 기계를 가는 것이 있다. 15 전 20 1일		
12. GRANTS OFFICE	- 01 4 1	
Grants Office Approval Signature:	may a Chatal.	Date: 9/10/03
Comments:	' σ	
	510 1 t. Q 10 . 4	
	Chilles Dant Cue not required.	
13. DEPUTY SUPERINTENDENT	Exhibits Bail Cue intregimed. Baut Cue intregimed. Baut Cue intregimed.	
Deputy Superintendent Approval Sign	lature:	Date: 9-12-03
Comments:		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
		A. A
14. SUPERINTENDENT	Tom Wall	
Superintendent Approval Signature:	10m ww	Date: 9-15-03
Comments:		
[기본 : 1750] : 10 (1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		
INSTRUCTIONS:	The state of the s	

- Complete items 1-10 on this form. The Grants Administration Unit will facilitate completion of items 11-14.
- B. Attach three (3) sets of Exhibits A, B, and C. Exhibit A-List of applicants (alphabetical order) recommended for funding, the amount requested, the amount recommended, and a three to five sentence abstract of the proposal. Exhibit B---List of applicants (alphabetical order) not recommended for funding and the amount each requested. Exhibit C---Map of Michigan indicating the location of recommended applicants.
- Attach the grant award letters for the Superintendent's signature and the non-award letters for the Service Area Director's signature. The letters should be submitted in the same order given in Exhibit A and/or B. For each final Grant Award Notification letter, a Grant Award Notification form (yellow sheet) also needs to be submitted for the Superintendent's signature.
- Transmit Grant Award Approval Form (pink), attachments, and letters to the Grant Administration Unit. D.

Michigan Department of Education Title VI Fiscal Year 2002 Carryover Funded Activities

School Performance Indicators

Recipient Amount Recommended

Kent Intermediate School District \$120,000

Purpose

The State Board of Education approved eleven performance indicators to be included in the EducationYES! accreditation program for schools. These performance indicators are not based on MEAP assessment scores but on characteristics discovered in research to be associated with high performing schools. Kent ISD was the fiscal agent and project coordinator for developing the rubrics schools would use to rate themselves on the indicators. About 400 local educators worked with Kent ISD in this process. The indicators were presented on the web in January 2003 for schools to respond to. Since that time, recommendations have been made for the revision and refinement of the indicators before being presented to schools a second time, in the spring of 2004. Michigan State University has reviewed the indicators and recommended changes and refinements. It is necessary to reconvene some of the local educational leaders and practitioners who worked with Kent ISD on the original indicators, to have them review MSU's recommendations and make final recommendations to the Department.